Nuclear Waste, Conspiracies, and E-Meters: Remarkable Religion and Technology

with Sebastian Musch, "The Atomic Priesthood and Nuclear Waste Management: Religion, Sci-Fi Literature, and the End of Our Civilization"; S. Jonathon O'Donnell, "Secularizing Demons: Fundamentalist Navigations in Religion and Secularity"; and Stefano Bigliardi, "New Religious Movements, Technology, and Science: The Conceptualization of the E-Meter in Scientology Teachings."

SECULARIZING DEMONS: FUNDAMENTALIST NAVIGATIONS IN RELIGION AND SECULARITY

by S. Jonathon O'Donnell

Since the turn of the millennium, theologians and secular scholars of religion have increasingly begun exploring the relationship between transhumanism and religion. However, analyses of anti-transhumanist apocalypticisms are still rare, and those that exist are situated mainly among broader explorations of religious and secular bioconservatism. This article addresses this lack of specificity by drawing analyses of transhumanism and religion into dialogue with explorations of contemporary demonology through a close study of the beliefs of the evangelical conspiracist Thomas Horn and the antitranshumanist milieu around him. Exploring the milieu's multifaceted demonology of the secular world in light of genealogies of religion and secularity, the article situates Horn's demonology as one attempt to negotiate these genealogies, using what Sean McCloud terms a "'supernatural' hermeneutics of suspicion" that sees spiritual forces as the structural base of reality. It argues that, while fringe, milieus like Horn's illuminate broader cultural tensions and genealogical relations surrounding the place of religion in a secular(izing) world.

Keywords: eschatology; evolution; Thomas Horn; immanence; original sin; science; secularism; teleology; time; transhumanism

The outcome of humanity's Fall from Eden, English philosopher and scientist Francis Bacon wrote in *The New Organon*, "did not make the creation an utter and irrevocable outlaw." This "not" marks a site of possibility. Bacon held that prelapsarian perfection would only be regained at world's

S. Jonathon O'Donnell is a Teaching Fellow in the Department of Religion and Philosophies, SOAS (School of Oriental and African Studies), University of London, London, England; e-mail: sjodonnell@gmail.com.

end; however, parts of it could be regained in earthly life. These came in two main types: innocence, which might be healed by God's grace through religion and faith, and dominion, which could be repaired by human arts and sciences as "man, by manifold labors... compels the creation, in time and in part... to serve the purposes of human life" (Bacon 2000, 221). It was this Baconian idea of dominion, Peter Harrison claims, which informed the birth of modern science as the "struggle to recover, through effort and industry in the present life, capacities that were once part of the natural endowment of human beings" (Harrison 2007, 158). Although rooted in Christian scriptures, this struggle led to complications: modern science found its relationship to the Fall narrative that prompted it increasingly strained, and eventually unsustainable: the "uneasy relationship between the rational principle of design and the more fragile historical concept of the Fall was resolved in favor of the former" (Harrison 2007, 247). This resolution was echoed in other spheres like politics and law, which also shed the overt theology of their origins, secularization becoming coded as emancipation. Yet this epistemic metamorphosis also permitted other narratives. In one, the tensions are seen as resolved in favor of the latter: that is, science's desertion of scriptural foundations was not a flight towards but from truth, not the abandonment but the triumph of the Fall—the moment that God's creation became, or began to become, "an utter and irrevocable outlaw."

This article explores an exemplar of this latter narrative in the works of a network of post-denominational evangelical bioconservatives in the contemporary United States. Fundamentalist in orientation, and situated at the nexus of far right U.S. politics, conspiracist subcultures, and neocharismatic paradigms of spiritual warfare, this milieu's members construct complex apocalyptic demonologies of secular society that recast secularization as a demonic co-option of divine order. These demonologies center on the construction of the "Religion of Man" (RoM)—a trans-historical and satanically inspired ideology of human (self-)apotheosis that opposes (and potentially disrupts) God's plan. In this article I deconstruct RoM, unpacking its figuration of broader Western cultural tensions between religion and secularity. First, I outline the features and figures of the milieu, and the conceptuality underlying RoM, proceeding then to analyze two core conceptual features of RoM—human artifice and (self-)transformation—as present in demonizations of three cultural discourses: Darwinian evolution, New Age spirituality, and revolutionary politics. In the milieu's works, these demonizations constitute parts of a general demonology of becoming tied to the ideological projects of secular modernity, and are constructed as converging in an apocalyptic figuration of transhumanist philosophy and emerging technoscience.

Although it foregrounds the dangers of radical enhancement, this demonology of becoming is foremost a demonology of history—an attempt

to rationalize the rise of secularism and the marginalization of public religion. The final section thus situates the milieu's apocalyptic "transhumanism" in relation to debates around religion and secularity, exploring how secularism's genealogical ties to Christianity condition and complicate its demonologies. Ultimately, I argue that these demonologies are attempts to navigate cultural tensions between religion and secularity and to negotiate the place of religion in a world where secular discourses like transhumanism reinscribe originally religious concepts in secularized terms and present emerging technoscientific paths to their attainment. If, as R. Scott Appleby argues, fundamentalists are at root "reactive and selective," and they react (in part, if not in whole) to the perceived marginalization of their religion (2011, 230), then the demonologies analyzed represent reactions not just to perceptions of marginalization but perhaps also to obsolescence.

ANATOMY OF A MILIEU

The relationship between transhumanism and more traditional religious systems has increasingly become a topic of academic study (see, e.g., Cole-Turner 2011; Deane-Drummond, Bergmann, and Szersynski 2015; Mercer and Trothen 2015). Furthermore, critical analyses of the political, philosophical, and narrative structures of conspiracist theories and subcultures has risen as such discourses have migrated toward the U.S. mainstream (Barkun 2013), and there has also been a re-evaluation of the sociopolitical negotiations operating in Christian demonologies in contemporary America (McCloud 2015). Individuals and groups at the confluence of these currents have not yet been sufficiently explored. Given the sociopolitical prominence to which contentions over evolutionary theory or anthropogenic climate change have risen in contemporary America, I believe it is time for closer analysis of those operating in this nexus of conservative politics, evangelical religiosity, and conspiracist subcultures, exploring both their relation to broader movements and their potentially illuminating specificities.

This section introduces and analyzes the texts of one group—a loose network of post-denominational evangelical bioconservatives in the United States. Although fringe, as James Hughes explores, groups like this one tap into wider concerns about emerging technology held by both the Christian right and secular bioconservatives (2012, 770). Moreover, the specificities of the group's works also exemplify contemporary cultural contestations around the relationship of religion and secularity. Despite (or because) of their reactionary and selective character, their demonologies place transhumanist and fundamentalist eschatologies in interrelation, illustrating their interdependence as entangled accentuations of genealogical tensions arising from secularism's emergence from and appropriation of Christian theological concepts. Interrogation of this group thus facilitates both

analysis of bioconservative concerns over emerging technology and enables the situating of such concerns within broader conversations about religion and secularity in the contemporary West. These are themes I develop in depth below.

Figures, features, fundamentals. The individuals in this group are not formally affiliated, but rather coalesce around certain online and offline platforms and write on shared themes and concerns (biblical prophecy, transhumanism, ufology, New Age spirituality, alternative history, conspiracy theory, and emerging technoscience broadly). Formerly congregating on the now defunct Raiders News Network (raidersnewsupdate.com), run by evangelical survivalist Thomas Horn, their primary hubs at present are Sky-WatchTV (skywatchtv.com), coordinated by Derek Gilbert, and Prophecy in the News (prophecyinthenews.com), founded by Baptist minister J. R. Church. The group's print works are mostly published through Horn's independent publishing house, Defender, and since 2012 they have helped coordinate an annual 1,000-ticket event, the Pike's Peak Prophecy Summit, at Colorado Springs, Colorado. Because of their shared platforms and themes but lack of strict formal organizational affiliation, I have elected to refer to this group as a "milieu."²

Horn is a pivotal figure in this milieu. Styling himself as "one of the most (if not *the* most) publically-recognized [*sic*] Christian critics of transhumanism with a belief in prophecy" (2011, 3), Horn is the author or co-author of multiple books, prefaces, and introductions, editor of three essay collections, and features in several documentary-style DVDs on transhumanism viewed through his idiosyncratic demonology, which maintains that emerging technoscience will be used to create demonic angel-humananimal-plant hybrids, or "Nephilim" (Horn 2013, 194). While such oddities might cast Horn as marginal, he has been featured heavily on popular right-wing conspiracist website WorldNetDaily (wnd.com), and has close ties to other parts of the Christian right through his ally Chuck Missler, serving as faculty at Missler's Koinonia Institute, a "non-denominational but *decidedly* fundamental" religious school aimed at "training and equipping the serious Christian to sojourn in today's world" (Koinonia Institute 2015, 3, 7).

Like many fundamentalists, Horn's milieu exhibits the dual tendency towards a belief in the Bible's inerrancy and divine inspiration and a (sometimes contrary) commitment to demonstrate that inerrancy empirically by comparison with historical and contemporary evidences (Harris 2008, 15). This tendency, Matthew Avery Sutton notes, was influenced by the Baconian unity of faith and science. It treats "the Bible like a series of propositions that when properly arranged and classified unveil[s] the plan of the ages," which is mapped onto and supplemented by material reality (2014, 15–16). This interplay of text and context is present

throughout the milieu's works, which are conditioned by another, more specific hermeneutics. Both Missler and Horn, and the other members of the milieu, are part of the broader current of "Third Wave" spiritual warfare, a neo-charismatic paradigm with dominionist influences that emerged in the 1980s and has become influential across the spectrum of conservative evangelicalism in the United States (see Holvast 2009; Warren 2012). Drawing on the biblical image of the holy warrior, this paradigm constructs a worldview in which the spiritual (heavenly) and material (earthly) worlds act as intertwined battlefields in a cosmic struggle for the human soul, one waged against Satan, who "has amassed an army of evil spirits that he is using to attack and demonize humans in an effort to win their souls for hell" (McCloud 2013, 170). Analyzing spiritual warfare discourses in the United States, Sean McCloud argues that spiritual warriors use "a 'supernatural' hermeneutics of suspicion." This model contests materialist hermeneutics of suspicion by identifying not economics or psychology as the bases of existence but the conflicts of unseen (angelic and demonic) entities, for which the struggles and exigencies of everyday life are mere superstructures (McCloud 2015, 62, 115).

A clear example of this hermeneutics can be discerned in Horn's discussion of the causes and ends of radical enhancement. In his Zenith 2016 (2013, 261-70), Horn discusses the "Heaven" and "Hell" scenarios of human enhancement, drawing on Joel Garreau's Radical Evolution (2005) and Francis Fukuyama's Our Posthuman Future (2002). Characterizing Garreau's utopic "Heaven" scenario as naïve and delusional, Horn then outlines a number of hellish outcomes shared by more secular or less apocalyptically minded bioconservatives—that it will magnify inequality or produce full-scale "race wars" between enhanced and unenhanced humans, or simply unleash death on a scale heretofore unfathomable (see Agar 2010). Horn then exposes the foundations of his hermeneutics by remarking that "the *spirit* behind the transhumanist nightmare will put the 'hell' in 'hell scenario' sooner than most comprehend" (Horn 2013, 270). For Horn and others in his milieu, this "hell scenario" is not merely a or even the logical outcome of enhancement but an outcome that has been orchestrated by a spiritual hand.

War of the worldviews. For the milieu, this outcome has been a long time coming, and the secularization of science, and Western society broadly, is central to its actualization. In a lengthy 2006 blog post, for example, Philip Collins sketches the following interpretation of history to explain religious resemblances in secular ideologies:

Historically, humanity has divided somewhat evenly into two diametrically opposed camps: those who subscribe to a spiritual, theistic Weltanschauung and those who do not... [T]he anti-theistic and anti-spiritual Weltanschauung adamantly opposes most, if not all, religions. However, most

contemporary movements that have ostensibly eschewed a spiritual outlook have sociologically behaved like religions... How does one explain this paradox? It is this researcher's contention that the anti-theistic and anti-spiritual Weltanschauung was spawned by an older religion. This religion is purely occult in character and... remains deeply embedded within the ostensibly secular mind. (2006)

Collins is no longer in Horn's immediate circle, although he and his brother, Paul, were once both "regular contributors" to Horn's Raiders News Network (Collins and Collins 2014). However, the scenario outlined above reflects the milieu's core beliefs: history is seen as a site of dualistic struggle between incommensurable forces, one theistic and spiritual—and thus godly—the other atheistic and material—and thus satanic (see Hamp 2011a; Horn 2013; Quayle 2014; McGuire and Anderson 2015). Modern secularism is figured as a variant of the latter. Perhaps unexpectedly, Collins identifies traces of "religion" in these secularized paradigms, resolving this conundrum by identifying secularism as a form of *a* religion: an older, "purely occult" system from which modern secularism emerges.

Collins (2006) identifies the occult religion he views as the heart of secularism as the "religion of man" (hereafter, RoM), a term that is also deployed by fellow anti-transhumanist evangelical Carl Teichrib (2011, 299). While this specific term is not always deployed—Horn, for example, uses "Promethean faith" (2013, 69), and Sharon Gilbert speaks of the "Dragon's dream" (2011, 357)—RoM encapsulates a number of features evoked by the milieu, serving as shorthand for an anthropocentric rather than theocentric worldview that aims to improve human life not through reliance on the divine but the work of human hands. RoM echoes a Baconian notion of dominion—in which humanity "compels" creation "to serve the purposes of human life"—but shorn of Christian trappings. The secularization of modern Western science is seen as a core element of RoM. In The Ascendancy of the Scientific Dictatorship (2004), for example, the Collins brothers frame RoM as central to a transhistorical "conspiracy of knowledge," one waging a war of ideas by corrupting science—"initially a God-given instrument for the broadening of human understanding"—in order to fulfil "the recreation of man in the image of their god" (2004, 2). For the milieu, the "god" alluded to here is Satan, viewed as an entity that (under many names) has orchestrated diverse systems of thought in religio-political contexts ranging from ancient Sumer to the Global War on Terror (Horn 2013, 29-40, 161-65).

The tenets of RoM are seen by the milieu as the heart of Satan's multifarious systems, which in the present include current and emerging science and technologies, secular humanisms, and contemporary spiritualities. Beyond secularizing science, key historic elements of RoM include the revolutionary political ideologies of Europe (notably France) and America. While these phenomena might seem disparate, in their construction by the

milieu they share both a common feature—an idea of progress, evolution, or transformation—and a common source—Satan. Analyzed in the context of the milieu's demonologies, what emerges is a general *demonology* of becoming, a conceptual framework in which becoming other (from an original, God-given state of being) is seen as becoming demonic.³ It is to this demonology that I now turn.

DIABOLICAL (R)EVOLUTIONS

In the works of the milieu, RoM has two main qualities, both of which are tied to the narrative of the Tower of Babel in Genesis 11:1-9, in which a united human effort to build a tower to heaven brings divine judgement and forced diaspora (Horn 2013; McGuire and Anderson 2015; Teichrib 2011). These qualities are (1) a championing of human artifice over divine nature, and (2) a focus on ideas of "becoming," particularly "becoming divine" or "becoming God." Transhumanism is figured as a modern repetition of this narrative: as Jim Fletcher writes in his foreword to Horn's Pandemonium's Engine, transhumanists are "builders of the New Babel" (2011, xi). To understand how the milieu's "transhumanism" discursively functions, however, it is necessary to examine the ideological bricks from which this New Babel is constructed. In this section, I examine three: New Age spiritualities, Darwinian evolution, and revolutionary politics. Milieu members explore each extensively, but unevenly, depending on their fixations. Rather than examining each in depth, I focus on their interwoven placement in the milieu's demonology of becoming. All are multifaceted and complex discourses, and my treatment of them should not be seen as analyzing them in their variegated exigencies but solely in terms of their construction by Horn's milieu, in which they are emblematic of a diabolic attempt to co-opt creation. In this section, I explore the milieu's own construction of this co-option, one tied to secular modernity and ending with transhumanism. Later, I deconstruct this construction in relation to critiques of secularism and its genealogical relation to Christian theology.

The Fall as evolutionary impetus. The foundational attribute of RoM is its championing of human artifice over divine nature. This leads to an emphasis on materiality and construction. Noting that Babel was "representative of Man's power via a unified objective," Teichrib continues that "false religion—the abandonment of the true Yahweh for an alternative—is frequently accompanied by a tangible *edifice* or *system*" (2011, 301). Horn associates demons with a manipulation of biological matter (2013, 201–02), and McGuire and Anderson (2015) and Jonathan Cahn (2014) believe the modern skyscraper's surpassing of the height of Christian cathedrals was a key moment in the transition from a divine to

a demonic world order. More important than the edifice itself, however, is the purpose for which it is built.

For the milieu, the goal of Babel is human apotheosis. The act of building thus blurs into the second quality: an act of becoming (God) which is opposed to "being," and specifically to "being-in-place" in accordance with divine fiat. Supplementing Babel, the milieu also draws here on the tale of the Watchers from Genesis 6 and 1 Enoch, in which the angelic Watchers desert their place in heaven and interbreed with human women to produce hybrid children. Horn writes that "[d]eparting the proper habitation that God had assigned them was grievous to the Lord and led to divine penalization"—here, Noah's Deluge (Horn 2013, 195). As well as rebuilding Babel, emerging technoscience (especially genetic engineering) is seen as recreating the transgression of the Watchers—creating hybrid beings by crossing ontological and species boundaries in contravention of divine law—a repetition of act that will lead to a repetition of sentence (see Gilbert 2011; Hamp 2011a; Horn 2011; McGuire and Anderson 2015). This destabilization of essential ontology tied to inevitable teleology is central to the milieu's demonizations of evolutionary paradigms, which include both New Age spirituality and Darwinism.

Both Babel and Watcher narratives can be construed as modalities of the Fall. Lambasting New Age and neo-pagan notions of inner, personal divinity as attempts to recreate Babel by uniting all peoples under one faith, Horn writes: "Pagans argue [that a] principle of inner divinity is older than Christianity, which is true. The gospel according to such New Age concepts—a gospel of "becoming God"—is as old as the fall of man. It began when the serpent said to the woman 'ye shall be as gods' (Genesis 3:5 [KJV]), and it will zenith during the reign of the anti-Christian god-king" (2013, 93). The Collins brothers explicitly link this spirituality to Darwinian evolution, writing that the "occult concept of 'becoming" is disseminated to the populace as "Darwinism. Evolution is the means by which this purported transformation of man into God is to occur" (2004, 6). Claims that Darwinism is an exoteric form of an occult doctrine might seem strange, but the milieu is reacting to a specific genealogy. Evolutionary ideas were highly influential in the development of the nineteenth-century occulture from which the forebears of New Age spirituality arose, notably the Theosophical Society, which repudiated "exoteric" Darwinian evolution but formed its own schema based on ideas of the spiritual evolution of the cosmos. Furthermore, as Per Faxneld explores, while Theosophy's core texts rejected ideas of Satan as a really existing entity, they saw the Fall as a positive event that set evolution in motion by "breaking free from stasis, disrupting equilibrium by eating the forbidden fruit" (2012, 216).

The milieu counter-subverts this subversion, (re)integrating its principles into their apocalyptic narrative. Tying exoteric and esoteric strands into a demonological transhumanism, the milieu conflates ideas of

evolutionary development with the notion that humanity should (therefore) guide its own evolution. Two members of the milieu, John McTernan and Douglas Hamp, articulate this explicitly. McTernan laments that evolutionary theory "detaches man from his Creator and being created in God's image and likeness... Man is now a free agent to tamper with his DNA under the guise of advancing evolution" (2011, 268), while Hamp claims that teaching evolution removes God, and, "With God removed, we can understand how mixing two different kinds of animals in order to 'evolve' to a new level raises very few flags... After all, they say we came from animals" (2011b, 238). Sharon Gilbert, a close ally of Horn's, channels this association by way of Mary Shelley's gothic novel, Frankenstein. In an extensive email reproduced by Horn she writes, "Like Shelley's Modern Prometheus, Victor Frankenstein, today's molecular magicians play 'god' not by stitching together rotting corpses, but by reforming the very essence of our beings: our DNA" (in Horn 2013, 278). Gilbert elaborates elsewhere that Frankenstein "embodied the ancient desires of fallen angels to eliminate man's innate DNA and replace it with [another]"—this corruption is "the Dragon's dream" which "sleeps within the dark thoughts of many scientists and New Age believers today" (2011, 357).

Lucifer as revolutionary archetype. By fulfilling this draconic dream, transhumanism will create "eschatological tools of transformation" that threaten "to rewrite the laws of nature and permanently alter the course of life" (Horn 2011, 5, 34). However, before this endgame could be realized, RoM also had to transform the fabric of society. In the minds of milieu members, the tenets of RoM do not merely reside in the realm of personal, inner transformation but also in the ideologies and practices of revolution. However, here revolution is closely linked to the ascendency of secular science. Drawing on James Billington's 1980 Fire in the Minds of Men: Origins of the Revolutionary Faith, Horn refers to RoM as the "Promethean faith" (Horn 2013, 69), the idea "that science would lead men out of darkness into light" accompanied by a "millennial assumption that, on the new day that was dawning, the sun would never set" (Billington [1980] 1999, 6).

The milieu sees this faith as working behind various political projects, most notably the post-independence United States (Collins and Collins 2004, 119–21; Horn 2013, 59–73, 104–21), but also communism and fascism (Collins and Collins 2004, 57–60). The Collins brothers link it to their transhistorical conspiracy, noting that this faith, "which has underpinned a majority of contemporary crusades to establish a socialist totalitarian one world government, [and] revered science as the ultimate source of truth." Moreover, with time's passage, "Scientific terminology supplanted the overtly mystical vernacular of the elite's occult doctrines and became the chief facilitator of humanity's deification" (2004, 10).

This transition establishes a further element of mutability to RoM that contrasts the milieu's belief in biblical inerrancy. Eschewing a stability of text and truth, RoM shifts with the times, scientific language replacing "occult doctrines" according to utility.

Much as evolution is linked to the Fall, this revolutionary faith is also given an ancient pedigree. Horn remarks early in one work that the light towards which this revolutionary faith leads us "is derived from Lucifer, the light-bearer" (2013, 40), and argues that the origin of human ego unbound from divine order began "in the distant past, when a 'fire in the minds of angels' caused Lucifer to exalt himself above God's creation" (Horn 2013, 84). This claim ties ideas of revolutionary politics to Lucifer's failed rebellion, and implies a correlation between God and the ancien régime. This association is not fully coincidental or idiosyncratic. As demonizations of Darwinism and New Age draw from select genealogies, demonizations of revolution draw on others, notably that of "Romantic" or "symbolic" Satanism. Exhibited centrally in Romantic and Decadent literature and influenced by Milton's portrayal of Satan in Paradise Lost, these discourses recast the fallen angel from architect of evil into an "image of expanding human consciousness and desire, rebelling against oppression and limitation" (Schock 2003, 39).

This paradigm was not widespread, but was taken up by several occultural and political milieu, from Theosophy to socialism and women's liberation (Faxneld 2012, 2013). For the milieu, however, the most relevant form of symbolic Satanism is its usage (occasional and ironic) by atheist humanists and transhumanists. One example, cited by Horn as exemplary of transhumanist attitudes to Christianity (2011, 63-65), is a 1991 op-ed by transhumanist philosopher Max More in his magazine Extropy. Titled "In Praise of the Devil," the article calls Lucifer "the embodiment of reason, of intelligence, of critical thought. He stands against the dogma of God and all other dogmas. He stands for the exploration of new ideas." More concludes the piece with an exhortation to "Join me, join Lucifer, and join Extropy in fighting God and his entropic forces with our minds, our wills and our courage. God's army is strong, but they are backed by ignorance, fear and cowardice. Reality is fundamentally on our side. Forward into the light!" (More 1991, 1–2). More's plaudit encapsulates the principle features of symbolic Satanism—Satan's alignment with reality, reason, light, and novelty, against a divine order characterized by fear, ignorance, and unquestioned and unquestionable archaic dogma. Integrating More's remarks into their demonologies, Horn's milieu tie transhumanism into both an ancient conflict and a recent history of political and scientific emancipation from religious doctrine: that is, to secularization.

Diabolizing history, diabolizing humanity. Through selective appropriation of these genealogies, the milieu constructs a model of history split

between divine order and demonic deviation. In reality, Western occulture, Darwinian evolutionary theory, and revolutionary ideology are multiform phenomena with complex and disparate, if occasionally intertwining, genealogies. In this brief overview I have not aimed to do them justice but rather to present them as they are utilized in the works of the milieu as parts of a shared whole with a shared source: Satan. More specifically, Satan's willful rejection of a divine order either in his lighting of a "fire in the minds of angels" or later in the mind of Eve. The Fall acts as a critical event for these currents, marking the forward thrust of time and the origins of RoM in the serpent's promise of human apotheosis. The Babel and Watcher narratives buttress this original promise, acting as failed attempts to fulfil it either via a united human effort to reach heaven or by direct demonic intervention in the essential (genetic) make-up of the human.

While both are integrated into a narrative of (demon-inspired) human attempts at apotheosis, however, the Babel and Watcher narratives are not fully comparable. One leads to forced diaspora and the other to annihilation, one to an elimination of means (language is diversified, people scattered) and the other to an elimination of existence (all life is ended). This difference plays into the milieu's demonology of transhumanism. As skyscrapers surpassing cathedrals marked a transition of worldly order from godly to demonic, the transhumanist desire and technological means to undo not just worldly order but the "essence" of the human is seen as threatening to irrevocably transform creation. Like the Watcher narrative, this transhuman endgame has a biological element, and Gilbert's positing of DNA as "the very essence of our being," for example, establishes a biological essence to the human. Horn ties this into apocalyptic soteriology, arguing that the Mark of the Beast (Revelation 13:16-17) could be a result of genetic tampering that, by introducing non-human animal DNA into the human genome, would make the bearer actually "part 'beast'." He continues that since these individuals would no longer be "entirely human' . . . the individual could no longer be 'saved' or go to heaven" (2013, 277).

It is possible to consider this demonological transhumanism as simply a hyper-religious and imaginative form of bioconservativism broadly summarized by Thomas Douglas as the view that even "if it were technically possible and legally permissible for people to engage in biomedical enhancement, it would not be *morally permissible* for them to do so" (2013, 236). Horn, Gilbert, Teichrib, and others might articulate their views in a language of soteriology, eschatology, and origins, but their arguments against transhumanism still rest on the idea that "these technologies might undermine our human dignity or inadvertently erode something that is deeply valuable about being human" but that is not necessarily quantifiable (Bostrom 2005, 203). While true, interpreting the milieu's demonologies only in this way obscures specificities in their narratives. On the one hand, their theological bioconservatism reflects a privileging of being, especially

stasis or being-in-place, over becoming. Proper ontological order must be maintained—angels must remain in heaven, humans must remain uniquely human, and the social order must not be overturned. To transgress goddefined limits, to shift from a state of being to one of becoming, is to court catastrophe.

On the other hand, beyond a focus on maintaining the stability of ontological borders, the milieu's narratives reveal deep anxieties about the continuing place of (their) religion in a secularizing world. They do not just demonize transhumanism, but rather cast it as the endgame of a historical movement tied to broader demonizations, to that "older religion" remaining in the "ostensibly secular mind." RoM is an attempt to explain the trajectory and continuities of a history that has deviated from their prescriptions and expectations. As such their demonology of becoming can be seen as an attempt to comprehend a growing sense of evitability to their inevitable ending—one tied to the workings of the very secular modernity they are attempting to explain.

ESCHATOLOGIES IN QUESTION

The milieu constructs a world in which (true) Christianity has been subsumed into a demonic secularism reaching its technoscientific endgame. As I will argue here, the complex relationship between religion and secularity lies at the heart of the milieu's demonologies, and their demonologies of transhumanism most of all. Rather than being religion's antithesis, secularity emerges from and rearticulates concepts from Christian theology. This mixture of origination and adaptation conditions the milieu's demonologies, creating discursive tensions in which secular modernity and transhumanism can be readily reckoned with (as demonic) and yet always exceed that attempted reckoning. This final section explores this reckoning, outlining the relation between secularity and Christianity regarding concepts of salvation and fallenness, and then contextualizing transhumanism as having a distinctly secular soteriology that contests the milieu's onto-teleology, calling its eschatologies into question.

Religion, secularity, temporality. Since the millennium, a burgeoning field of scholarship has interrogated the intertwined nature of "the religious" and "the secular." While these interrogations are diverse and multifaceted, certain aspects are able to shed light on the demonologies of Horn's milieu, notably those that explore the theological origins of "the secular" itself. This question of origin is particularly important since, as explored above, the milieu's demonology orients itself around ideas of divergence from originary stasis, becoming other as becoming demonic. Fixations surrounding progressive evolutionary schemata (whether political, spiritual, or biological) have, in the demonologies explored, hinged

on notions of secularization as a forgetting or rejection of authentic theological origin. These fixations, as I will demonstrate, are grounded in a particular reading of the historical development of the binary secularity/religion, emphasizing distinctions in concepts of temporality.

The sociologist of religion José Casanova has noted that while the categories of religion and secularity are mutually constituted, the mechanics of this constitution has shifted over time. While "the secular" is increasingly seen in the West as reality tout court, to which religion is superstructural and superfluous, the concept of the secular originates in Christian theology as part of a binary dividing the world into sacred and profane realms (2011). This division is illustrated by the early Christian usage of "secular" itself, in which the Latin saeculum designated "earthly existence" in contrast to "eternal life with God," and marked the time counted until history's end, when Christ would return and it would be supplanted by eternity: "It was temporary, a time of waiting, not simply years stretching infinitely into the future." Crucially, this time-space was not neutral but "the world of temptation and illusion" (Calhoun 2012, 341). As Craig Calhoun elaborates, the "contrast of sensuous and corrupt to ideal and pure is mapped onto that of secular to eternal. For one thread of the ensuing conceptual history, the secular is associated more with the fallen than simply with the created" (Calhoun 2012, 342). Theologically framed, the secular world comes into being at the Fall and ends with Christ's Second Advent; "the secular" thus theologically names the time-space of that fallen creation.

This binarized relationship between secularity and religion is reinforced and complexified by the ways that ideologies of secularism adopted and adapted aspects of their theological parent. Casanova argues, for example, that secularism appropriates and transforms a Christian model of linear, telic history in order to construct a "universal teleological process of human development from belief to unbelief, from primitive irrational or metaphysical religion to modern rational postmetaphysical secular consciousness" (2011, 59). That is, they co-opt a model of Christian salvation history, culminating not in a second advent of divinity but in the secularization of the world and concomitant erasure of "irrational" religion. By doing so, Talal Asad observes, secularism partakes in a complex structural reversal: while "the secular" was "a part of theological discourse... [now] 'the religious' is constituted by secular political and scientific discourses, so that 'religion' itself as a historical category and as a universal globalized concept emerges as a construction of Western secular modernity" (2003, 192).

This structural reversal would seem readily assimilable into the demonologies of Horn's milieu. The triumph of secularity over religion (signified in the rise of the skyscraper, de-theologization of science, and overturning of the ancien régime) was construed by them as one of the central reflections of growing demonic influence over worldly order. The passage of science—"initially a God-given instrument for the broadening of human understanding" (Collins and Collins 2004, 2)—into a secularized form of critical inquiry shorn of its scriptural roots is here emblematic of Satan's attempts to co-opt God's creation for his own ends, a relationship reinforced by the milieu's associations of Satan with the evolutionist and revolutionist paradigms of secular modernity. If the secular world is seen—as the milieu sees it—as a demon-ridden time-space oppositional to divine eternity, then the secular's subsuming of the religious in modernity becomes easily coded as a (more or less transient) triumph of that demon-ridden time-space over that of eternity.

Any construction of a straightforward binarization between divine eternity and demonic secularity is troubled, however. Secularism's relation to its Christian origins is one of continuity as much as reversal. While for the milieu the secular/religious binary is mapped directly onto that of satanic/godly, to paraphrase Charles Taylor the West becomes secular *because* rather than *in spite of* its religious heritage (2007, 791–92), and Graeme Smith has argued that secularism itself is a form of Christian ethics shorn of the structures of Christian belief (2008). Indeed, enduring traces of theology in Western secular ideas of progress, reason, and perfection have prompted Jean-Luc Nancy to question if "the West may be Christian in its depths" (2008, 34).

Transhumanism's secular transcendences. Such direct mapping is contestable, however. Asad argues that, although Christian narratives like redemption might have galvanized secular modernity's social projects, it does not necessarily follow that these projects are "essentially Christian." For Asad these projects have a distinctive politics (democratic, anticlerical), a different morality (focused on the sacredness of individual conscience and rights), and an understanding of suffering as "subjective and accidental"—to be medically treated, as corrective punishment, or merely as "the unfinished business of universal empowerment" (2003, 61–62). Taken together, these characteristics construct the agents of worldly salvation as also worldly; immanent, not transcendent; human, not divine. This paradigm not only maps readily onto the milieu's concept of RoM, it relies on its own mode of temporality: it eschews clear ends in favor of endless perfectibility. This secular model of redemption is exemplified by transhumanism's technoscientific projects, which deploy a secularized language of perfection in which humanity becomes the perfector that is perfected. Transhumanism's rearticulation of theological concepts is not just a continuation of secularism more broadly, however. Rather than replicating secularism's progressive narrative wholesale, transhumanism envisions its own eschatologies, its own transcendences—ones that unsettle the milieu's own.

Transhumanism's reproduction of religious concepts and terminology is well documented within the current scholarship. Braden Allenby and Daniel Sarewitz note that one need not analyze its language deeply "to recognize an agenda for human betterment that in other contexts marks the domain of faith and spiritual practice... Immortality, perfectibility, dominion, transcendence" (2011, 17–18). Hava Tirosh-Samuelson goes further, discussing the explicitly millenarian logic underlying many transhumanist soteriologies, one which imbues "human-made technology with salvific value: the Kingdom of God will be realized on earth through technology, thereby making salvation both imminent and immanent" (2015, 165). Tirosh-Samuelson here highlights the emphasis on artifice that is central to RoM. However, her remark on the immanence of salvation points to transhumanism's imbrication in the worldliness of secularity, and via this to the fulcrum of the time/eternity binary.

In "Whose Salvation? Which Eschatology?" the theologian and ethicist Brent Waters argues that transhumanism and traditional Christian theologies operate using incommensurable models of soteriology and eschatology. He lists a number of areas of incompatibility, such as transhumanism's apparent disdain for material, embodied existence and its emphasis on "the desire of the will to become the perfect being that it wills itself to be" (2011, 171). Whether these are truly incommensurable aspects is debatable. Transhumanist disdain for materiality can be traced to strands of orthodox Christianity, stemming from Augustine, that privilege the mind as the site of God's image that marked human uniqueness (Deane-Drummond 2011), and some, like Philip Hefner, have attempted to reconcile transhumanist and Christian models of perfectibility (1993). However, Waters's thesis serves as a useful lens for exploring Horn's milieu. This is particularly the case in the context of one of Waters's incommensurabilities—temporality. Waters argues that transhumanists and Christians both agree

that the finite and mortal human condition is far from ideal... that humans require release from their current condition. For transhumanists this release is attained through technological transformation, whereas for Christians humans are transformed by their life in Christ. Both agree that death is the final enemy; transhumanists conquer this foe by achieving the immortality of endless time, whereas Christians are resurrected into eternity, where there is no time. (Waters 2011, 164)

After describing a commonality in intent, Waters hits a point of crucial (dis)similarity: while both strive to overcome death, the means of achieving this end rely on differing temporal modes. Waters's critique focuses on death, arguing that transhumanism fixates on death as medically curable (on the model of secular modernity's attitude to suffering noted by Asad), and so devalues the embodied nature of life in the process. What Waters does not discuss is how such a temporal division replicates that of the

religious and the secular as the differentiation of "earthly existence" from "eternal life with God" (Calhoun 2012, 340).

Secularizing demons. By articulating salvation as the "immortality of endless time"—whether this is achieved by substantial genetic modification, cybernetic augmentation, digitization of consciousness, or another method—transhumanism constructs a model of soteriology that is irreducibly secular. Its vision of transcendence is immanent, worldly, based not on escaping time but stretching it into the future. If one adopts Waters's distinction, transhumanist salvation hinges not on transcending secular time for eternity but on secular time's becoming infinite. And if, like Horn's milieu, one adopts a perspective of the secular as not just the created which, although he describes transhumanism as "an idolatrous religion proffering a counterfeit salvation," Waters broadly seems to do (2011, 173)—but the fallen, the demon-ridden "world of temptation and illusion" (Calhoun 2012, 341), transhumanism's danger becomes clear. It marks not merely a system of belief proffering "counterfeit" salvation, but the technoscientific possibility that this salvation might be (im)possibly attained.

Like many evangelicals with an apocalyptic or millenarian perspective, Horn and his milieu ultimately turn to a sovereign God, who will intervene to rectify errant history. However, this foregone conclusion—increasingly deferred—does not detract from the milieu's continuing attempts to wrestle with a secular world in which it finds itself hopelessly entangled. Rather, it is a symptom. The demonologies of the milieu wrestle with their entanglement by drawing on specific genealogies of the secular (both as a model of temporality and the immanent space of modernity), and of the Devil as theological instigator, advocate, and symbol of that secularity. They filter these genealogies through their "supernatural' hermeneutics of suspicion." Yet while other spiritual warfare discourses deploy this framework to explain "economic recessions, school shootings, [and] individuals' problems with poverty or addiction" (McCloud 2015, 115), the convergence of spiritual warfare, conspiracism, and bioconservatism in the milieu prompts Horn and his allies to construct a demonization of secular modernity in toto.

Framed within the scope of this demonological hermeneutics and the selected (and selective) genealogies of secularism, the reading of transhumanism provided by Horn's milieu becomes (more) understandable. Guided by the hands of secularizing demons, secular modernity assents to the Fall. It accepts the temporal space of fallen reality and redefines it as reality as such. This alone would be anathema: secularism's immanent frame sits in stark opposition to spiritual warfare's worldview, hinging on the co-existence of earthly and heavenly realities and the relation between spiritual causes and worldly effects (or vice versa). But the trait that makes

transhumanism specifically threatening to Horn's milieu is its construction of a model of salvation set within that fallen reality, one emerging technosciences might (im)possibly actualize. Fitting into secular attitudes to suffering as "the unfinished business of universal empowerment" (Asad 2003, 62), transhuman soteriology centers not on transcendence from the *saeculum* but the becoming-transcendent of the *saeculum* itself.

CONCLUSION

In this article I have analyzed how the anti-transhumanist demonologies constructed by Horn and his allies signal attempts to comprehend their imbrication in secularity, a comprehension that causes them to draw on and interpret a range of ideological and historical paradigm shifts. In the present, these interpretations hinge on a demonized vision of transhuman technoscience. For the milieu, the technoscientific attainment of transhumanism's salvific vision would herald not just the potential catastrophes of an Enlightenment gone astray—as other secular and religious critics of transhumanism might argue—but the advent of a counterfeit eternity, even an eternal counterfeit.

Such a counterfeit possesses a paradoxical sense of authenticity, tied to secularism's genealogical relation to religion. It is this relationship between religion, secularity, and transhumanism that the milieu's demonologies foreground. The latter's reproduction of religious concepts is well documented, but it is important to see this reproduction not as something (wholly) new but as an iteration of broader paradigms of secular modernity. Constructing a narrative (albeit a selective and reactive one) of the continuities, differences, and ruptures that bind religion and secularity, Horn's milieu calls attention to how transhumanism's reworking of an agenda "that in other contexts marks the domain of faith" is itself just one variant of an "unfinished business of universal empowerment" that may (or may not) be "Christian in its depths" (Allenby and Sarewitz 2011, 17; Asad 2003, 62; Nancy 2008, 34).

As the Baconian "not" with which I began marked the site of a possibility for salvation, so too does this one. Whose salvation, however, is a question that haunts the milieu's works, and understandably: transhumanism completes secularism's reversal of the secular/religious binary, with religion and spirituality becoming emergent properties of secularity's own immanent totality. Such a soteriology mirrors the milieu's in abject form, redefining religious terminology as secularization redefined the place of religion, to the point where salvation itself becomes other, becomes demonic. The milieu's demonologies have the kernel of a truth: the structural reversal of religion/secularity did take place, and it marks not just transhumanism's reiteration of theological concepts but also the fundamentalist tendency, here exemplified, to supplement exegeses with empirical

evidence—historical genealogies, conceptual affinities, and material artifacts that buttress an inerrant scripture no longer able to stand alone. Illustrating vividly the mutual and enduring imbrication of religion and secularity, Horn's milieu raises the necessity of deconstructing how fundamentalist and transhumanist eschatologies and soteriologies have been mutually conditioned by the (re)formations of (religion in) secular modernity.

Caught in and reacting to these (re)formations, the milieu's last recourse is to await a divine act that would restore the sense of their own ending. Transhumanism's secularized soteriology is thus condemned to inevitable failure. For Horn, it reveals the naivety of Garreau's "Heaven" scenario, one where a catastrophic awakening when "the spirit behind the transhumanist nightmare will put the 'hell' in 'hell scenario' sooner than most comprehend" (Horn 2013, 270). It is clear, however, that for Horn both scenarios are hell scenarios. In one, his apocalyptic vision is fulfilled—technoscience initiates the end-times, the worst aspects of a now-demonized humanity are unleashed, and salvation is lost to all but the remaining unenhanced survivors. Yet this hell on earth, harrowing as it may be, also offers the promise of salvation and the vindication of tested faith. The other, in which emerging technologies fulfill their promise of this-worldly perfectibility, offers no vindication—immortality and transcendence are achieved by artifice alone, the perfector perfects itself through the perfection it wills itself to be, and the keystone of Babel is finally set in fulfilment of a promise offered by a serpent in a garden, long ago. Creation becomes—finally and forever—an "utter and irrevocable outlaw" (Bacon 2000, 221).

Notes

This article mainly uses "evangelical" to refer to the subset of conservative evangelicals known as fundamentalists. While evangelicalism can broadly be categorized by its emphasis on conversion experience, biblical authority, the centrality of the crucifixion, and activist commitment to good works, fundamentalism emerges as one specific part of this paradigm. Indeed, many evangelicals would deny association with fundamentalist paradigms, which Matthew Avery Sutton defines as "radical apocalyptic evangelicalism" (2014, 3). The relation between fundamentalism and evangelicalism is multifaceted, however, and complicated by the use of the terms to refer both to specific historical movements and broader ideologies. In the United States, the conscious rebranding of conservative fundamentalists as "evangelicals" in the 1940s (leading to the two often being used synonymously) also complicates differentiation in contemporary contexts—not least since it obscures, among others, the evangelical left. The group explored could be designated as evangelical, fundamentalist, or neither: its members self-identify as "evangelicals" or "conservative Christians," and arise from the conservative evangelical tradition that runs through Billy Graham, Jerry Falwell, and Pat Robertson. Their emphasis on spiritual warfare, however, derives from Pentecostalism, specifically from the neo-charismatic "Third Wave" of the 1980s (McCloud 2015). Furthermore, despite claims to ideological purity and the primacy of biblical authority, its members draw heavily from pagan mythologies (notably Egyptian and Greek), Illuminist conspiracies, and ufology and ancient alien hypotheses (see, e.g., Hamp 2011a; Horn and Putnam 2012; Horn 2013; Quayle 2014). The group is thus difficult to classify, and this article uses "evangelical" mainly as a way of locating it in the U.S. cultural context with which it is most consciously aligned.

- 2. This group includes, at present, Thomas and Nita Horn, Chuck Missler, Michael Bennett, Gary Stearman, Sharon and Derek Gilbert, Douglas Hamp, Cris Putnam, Stephen Quayle, Michael Lake, John McTernan, Noah Hutchings, Donna Howell, Larry Spargimino, Douglas Krieger, Douglas Woodward, Paul McGuire, Fred DeRuvo, Carl Teichrib, Gary Bates, Russ Dizdar, Michael Hoggard, Terry James, Terry Cook, and Frederick Meekins, among others.
- 3. A focus on becoming as opposed to being (as stasis) is a core feature of feminist and process theologies, including Catherine Keller's *Face of the Deep: A Theology of Becoming* (2003), which I nod to here. Keller argues that orthodox Christianity rejected notions of creation from chaos in favor of asserting the absolute origin of a masculinized omnipotence able to create *ex nihilo*, a critique that could certainly be aimed to the milieu's stasis-centric beliefs. While there are conceptual overlaps, however, my aim here is to situate the milieu's demonology in relation to the development of secular modernity, not as an outcome of doctrinal exclusions.

References

- Agar, Nicholas. 2010. *Humanity's End: Why We Should Reject Radical Enhancement*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Allenby, Braden, and Daniel Sarewitz. 2011. *The Techno-Human Condition*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Appleby, R. Scott. 2011. "Rethinking Fundamentalism in a Secular Age." In *Rethinking Secularism*, edited by Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan VanAntwerpen, 225–47. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Asad, Talal. 2003. Formations of the Secular: Christianity, Islam, Modernity. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Bacon, Francis. 2000. *The New Organon*, edited by Lisa Jardine and Michael Silverthorne. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Barkun, Michael. 2013. A Culture of Conspiracy: Apocalyptic Visions in Contemporary America. 2nd edn. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Billington, James H. [1980] 1999. Fire in the Minds of Men: Origins of the Revolutionary Faith. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Bostrom, Nick. 2005. "In Defense of Posthuman Dignity." Bioethics 19:202–14.
- Cahn, Jonathan. 2014. The Mystery of the Shemitah. Lake Mary, FL: Charisma House.
- Calhoun, Craig. 2012. "Time, World, and Secularism." In The Post-Secular in Question: Religion in Contemporary Society, edited by Philip S. Gorski, David Kyuman Kim, John Torpey, and Jonathan VanAntwerpen, 335–64. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Casanova, José. 2011. "The Secular, Secularizations, Secularisms." In *Rethinking Secularism*, edited by Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan VanAntwerpen, 54–74. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Cole-Turner, Ronald, ed. 2011. Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enhancement. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press
- Collins, Philip Darrell. 2006. "Nothing beyond the Flesh: The Theocracy of Prima Materia." Conspiracist Archive: Secret Societies, Cryptocracy and Deep Politics. Available at http://www.conspiracyarchive.com/2014/06/03/nothing-beyond-the-flesh-the-theocracy-of-prima-materia/. Accessed August 24, 2015.
- Collins, Philip Darrell, and Paul David Collins. 2004. The Ascendancy of the Scientific Dictatorship: An Examination of Epistemic Autocracy, from the 19th to the 21st Century. Lincoln, NE: iUniverse.
- ——, and ——. 2014. "From Séance to Science: A Brief History of Social Control." Conspiracist Archive: Secret Societies, Cryptocracy and Deep Politics. Available at http://www.conspiracyarchive.com/2014/09/07/from-seance-to-science-a-brief-history-of-social-control/. Accessed August 28, 2015.
- Deane-Drummond, Celia. 2011. "Taking Leave of the Animal? The Theological and Ethical Implications of Transhuman Projects." In *Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enhancement*, edited by Ronald Cole-Turner, 115–30. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Deane-Drummond, Celia, Sigurd Bergmann, and Bronislaw Szersynski, eds. 2015. *Technofutures, Nature, and the Sacred: Transdisciplinary Perspectives.* Farnham, UK: Ashgate.

- Douglas, Thomas. 2013. "Moral Enhancement." In *Ethics and Emerging Technologies*, edited by Robert L. Sandler, 235–51. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Faxneld, Per. 2012. "Blavatsky the Satanist: Luciferianism in Theosophy and its Feminist Implications." *Temenos* 48:203–30.
- ——. 2013. "The Devil Is Red: Socialist Satanism in the Nineteenth Century." *Numen* 60:528–58.
- Fletcher, Jim. 2011. "Foreword." In *Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God*, edited by Thomas Horn, ix–xi. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 2002. Our Posthuman Future: Consequences of the Biotechnology Revolution. New York, NY: Picador.
- Garreau, Joel. 2005. Radical Evolution: The Promise and Peril of Enhancing Our Minds, Our Bodies—And What it Means to be Human. New York, NY: Broadway.
- Gilbert, Sharon. 2011. "Dragon's Breath." In *Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God*, edited by Thomas Horn, 345–72. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Hamp, Douglas. 2011a. Corrupting the Image: Aliens, Angels, and the Antichrist Revealed. Crane, MO: Defender.
- 2011b. "Man Becoming His Own God?" In Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God, edited by Thomas Horn, 235–50. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Harris, Harriet A. 2008. Fundamentalism and Evangelicals. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. Harrison, Peter. 2007. The Fall of Man and the Foundations of Science. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hefner, Philip. 1993. The Human Factor: Evolution, Culture and Religion. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press.
- Holvast, René. 2009. Spiritual Mapping in the United States and Argentina, 1989–2005: A Geography of Fear. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Horn, Thomas. 2011. "Pandemonium and "HER" Children." In *Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God*, edited by Thomas Horn, 1–71. Crane, MO: Defender.
- ———. 2013. Zenith 2016: Did Something Begin in 2012 that Will Reach Its Zenith in 2016:— The Revised and Expanded Edition of Apollyon Rising 2012. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Horn, Thomas and Cris Putnam. 2012. *Petrus Romanus: The Final Pope is Here*. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Hughes, James. 2012. "The Politics of Transhumanism and the Techno-Millennial Imagination, 1626–2030." Zygon: Journal of Science and Religion 47:757–76.
- Keller, Catherine. 2003. Face of the Deep: A Theology of Becoming. London, UK: Routledge.
- Koinonia Institute. 2015. Koinonia Institute Handbook and Course Catalog 2015. Coeur d'Alene, ID: Koinonia Institute.
- McCloud, Sean. 2013. "Mapping the Spiritual Limbos of Spiritual Warfare: Haunted Houses, Defiled Land and the Horrors of History." *Material Religion* 9:166–85.
- ———. 2015. American Possessions: Fighting Demons in the Contemporary United States. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- McGuire, Paul, and Troy Anderson. 2015. The Babylon Code: Solving the Bible's Greatest End Times Mystery. Nashville, TN: FaithWords.
- McTernan, John P. 2011. "Genetic Armageddon." In *Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God*, edited by Thomas Horn, 263–96. Crane, MO: Defender
- Mercer, Calvin, and Tracy J. Trothen, eds. 2015. *Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO.
- More, Max. 1991. "In Praise of the Devil." Libertarian Alliance. Available at http://www.libertarian.co.uk/lapubs/athen/athen003.pdf. Accessed September 13, 2015.
- Nancy, Jean-Luc. 2008. *Dis-Enclosure: The Deconstruction of Christianity*, translated by Bettina Bergo, Gabriel Malenfant, and Michael B. Smith. New York, NY: Fordham University Press
- Quayle, Stephen. 2014. Xenogenesis: Changing Men into Monsters. Bozeman, MT: End Time Thunder Publishers.
- Schock, Peter. 2003. Romantic Satanism: Myth and the Historical Moment in Blake, Shelley, and Byron. London, UK: Routledge.

Zygon

- Smith, Graeme. 2008. A Short History of Secularism. London, UK: I.B. Tauris.
- Sutton, Matthew Avery. 2014. American Apocalypse: A History of Modern Evangelicalism. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Taylor, Charles. 2007. A Secular Age. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Teichrib, Carl. 2011. "To Storm Heaven; To Be Like God; To Rule the World." In Pandemonium's Engine: Satan's Imminent and Final Assault on the Creation of God, edited by Thomas Horn, 297–326. Crane, MO: Defender.
- Tirosh-Samuelson, Hava. 2015. "Utopianism and Eschatology: Judaism Engages Transhumanism." In Religion and Transhumanism: The Unknown Future of Human Enhancement, edited by Calvin Mercer and Tracy J. Trothen, 161-80. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO.
- Warren, E. Janet. 2012. "Spiritual Warfare': A Dead Metaphor?" Journal of Pentecostal Theology 21:278-97.
- Waters, Brent. 2011. "Whose Salvation? Which Eschatology? Transhumanism and Christianity as Contending Salvific Religion." In Transhumanism and Transcendence: Christian Hope in an Age of Technological Enhancement, edited by Ronald Cole-Turner, 163-76. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.